

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				•
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/786,910	02/25/2004	Randy Gearhart	3329	4401
7590 12/03/2004		EXAMINER		
Sean T. Bradley			PARSLEY, DAVID J	
Chase Law Firm, L.C. Suite 130			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
4400 College Boulevard			3643	
Overland Park, KS 66211			DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		r					
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/786,910	GEARHART, RANDY				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
<u></u>		David J Parsley	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 October 2004.						
2a)⊠ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (4) Claim(s) 14-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 14-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicatio	n Papers						
10)⊠ T A	he specification is objected to by the Examine he drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(•	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3643

Detailed Action

Amendment

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment dated 10-12-04 and this action is final.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 10 "a" should be either - -the- - or - -said- -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 16 is objected to because of the following informalities: on line 10 "a" should be either - -the- - or - -said- -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - · A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 1,734,346 to Reinhardt.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

Referring to claims 14 and 16, Reinhardt discloses a fishing lure enhancement comprising, a skirt – at 14-17,14'-17', of resilient material – see page 1 lines 60-70, having a hub – at 6,8,15, and a plurality of circumferentially spaced primary legs – at 14,17 or 14',17', extending radially outwardly from the hub generally in a common plane when the skirt is at rest – see for example figures 1-4, the hub being adapted for passage of a fishing line – at 9, therethrough – at 8, each of the primary legs including a plurality of secondary, generally parallel legs – at 14, extending from the hub in side by side relationship and presenting free ends that define the perimeter of the skirt and/or the outer end of the primary leg – see figures 1-4, whereby the primary legs exhibit first order movements and the secondary legs exhibit second order movements to enhance the action of the lure – see for example figures 1-4 and pages 1-2.

Referring to claims 15 and 18, Reinhardt discloses the hub has a generally radially extending slit between each of the primary legs – see proximate 15,17 or 15',17' in figures 3-4, to provide an independent axis of bending movement for each of the primary legs independent of the movements of the secondary legs – see for example figures 1-4.

Referring to claim 17, Reinhardt discloses the hub has elements providing an axis of bending movement for each of the primary legs independent from movements of the secondary legs – see where items 15, 17 or 15',17, meet in figures 3-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/786,910 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reinhardt as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,127,183 to Wulff. Reinhardt does not disclose the skirt further comprises a plurality of tertiary legs of shorter length than the primary legs extending radially outwardly from the hub, each of the tertiary legs being disposed between a respective pair of the primary legs. Wulff does disclose the skirt – at 28,30, further comprises a plurality of tertiary legs – see figure 4, of shorter length than the primary legs extending radially outwardly from the hub – at 16,26, each of the tertiary legs being disposed between a respective pair of the primary legs – see for example figure 4. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to take the device of Reinhardt and add the skirt with tertiary legs of Wulff, so as to allow for the device to be more attractive to fish as it is pulled through the water.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3643

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to skirted fishing lures in general:

- U.S. Pat. No. 2,018,622 to Davenport shows lure with skirt
- U.S. Pat. No. 2,148,799 to Bilinski shows lure with skirt
- U.S. Pat. No. 2,384,993 to Goddard et al. shows lure with skirt
- U.S. Pat. No. 2,612,717 to Kuehnel shows lure with skirt

Application/Control Number: 10/786,910

Art Unit: 3643

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David J Parsley whose telephone number is (703) 306-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on 9hr compressed.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (703) 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Parsley
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3643

PETER M. POON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

11/30/04

Pet n Van